



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/056,942

01/25/2002

H. Brock Kolls

BK-020-05

5036

7590

08/08/2006

Benjamin E Leace

RatnerPrestia

P O Box 980

Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980

EXAMINER

MANCHO, RONNIE M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3663

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/056,942	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KOLLS, H. BROCK	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ronnie Mancho	<b>Art Unit</b> 3663	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 June 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 23-29 and 35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 23-29 and 35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/27/06</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Remark.*

1. This action is a resubmission of the non-final action of 7-10-06. The body of the 7-10-06 rejection indicated the action was a final while the office action summary indicated that the action was non-final. The paragraph indicating that the action was a final has been removed.
2. A restart of time is hereby issued due to the above correction.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 23-29, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cannon et al (6408232).

Regarding claim 23, Cannon et al (figs. 1-4; col. 2-9) disclose a method of vehicle servicing, said method of vehicle servicing comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 3663

a) monitoring vehicle data (col. 4, lines 31-41) associated with the vehicle, said vehicle data being data communicated wirelessly between an in-vehicle device (44, figs. 1&2) located in said vehicle and a communication interface device (wireless transceiver 34; col. 3, lines 43-65; col. 6, lines 1+);

b) analyzing (wireless piconet network 14; col. 2, lines 51-64; col. 5, lines 30-49) said monitored vehicle data;

c) obtain diagnostic information related to a determining of vehicle service recommendations and vehicle replacement part recommendations (col. 6, lines 59-67; col. 7, lines 29-31, lines 53-58);

d) determining said vehicle service recommendations and vehicle replacement part recommendations according to the analyzed vehicle data and the diagnostic information (col. 6, lines 59-67; col. 7, lines 29-31, lines 53-58);

e) displaying, within said vehicle (*col. 6, lines 32-45; col. 5, lines 58-67*), said determined vehicle service recommendations and vehicle replacement part recommendations to a user (col. 6, lines 33-67; col. 7, lines 29-31, lines 53-58);

f) selecting, by said user, from within said vehicle (*col. 6, lines 32-45; col. 5, lines 58-67*), one or more of said displayed at least one of vehicle service recommendations or vehicle replacement part recommendations (col. 6, lines 33-67; col. 7, lines 29-31, lines 53-58); and

receiving a selection from the user for the selected vehicle service recommendations and vehicle replacement part recommendations (col. 6, lines 25-67; col. 7, lines 29-31, lines 53-58).

Regarding claim 24 Cannon et al (inherently) disclose the method of vehicle servicing (figs. 1-4; col. 2-9) in accordance with claim 23 further comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 3663

a) effectuating an e-commerce or an e-business transaction to place an order for said vehicle service recommendations or vehicle replacement part recommendations (col. 7, lines 53-67; col. 8, lines 21-54; col. 5, lines 57-67, etc); and

b) confirming said e-commerce, or said e-business order placement (col. 7, lines 53-67; col. 8, lines 21-54; col. 5, lines 57-67, etc).

Regarding claim 25 Cannon et al (inherently) disclose the method of vehicle servicing in accordance with claim 24, wherein the step of confirming said e-commerce, or said e-business order placement further comprises the step of:

a) charging one or more fees for transacting said e-commerce, or said e-business transaction.

Regarding claim 26 Cannon et al disclose a method (figs. 1-4; col. 2-9) of performing remote vehicle diagnostics to provide vehicle service recommendations or vehicle replacement part recommendations for a vehicle to a user for selection by the user, comprising the steps of:

a) receiving data for the vehicle at a communication interface device, said data being data communicated by an in-vehicle device located in the vehicle, or data communicated by a programmable storage device carried by a user (col. 6, lines 25-67; col. 7, lines 29-31, lines 53-58; col. 8, lines 21-67);

b) communicating said plurality of data from said communication interface device to a remote location by way of a global network(col. 6, lines 25-67; col. 7, lines 29-31, lines 53-58; col. 8, lines 21-67);

c) analyzing said data at said remote location (col. 6, lines 25-67; col. 7, lines 29-31, lines 53-58; col. 8, lines 21-67);

Art Unit: 3663

d) accessing one or more data processing resources to obtain diagnostic information related to determination of vehicle service recommendations and vehicle replacement part recommendations (col. 6, lines 25-67; col. 7, lines 29-31, lines 53-58; col. 8, lines 21-67);

e) determining said vehicle service recommendations or vehicle replacement part recommendations according to the analyzed data and the diagnostic information (col. 6, lines 25-67; col. 7, lines 29-31, lines 53-58; col. 8, lines 21-67);

f) selecting by said user, from within said vehicle (*col. 6, lines 32-45; col. 5, lines 58-67*), at least one or more of the determined vehicle service recommendations or vehicle replacement part recommendations (col. 6, lines 25-67; col. 7, lines 29-31, lines 53-58; col. 8, lines 21-67); and

g) receiving from the user a selection of the determined vehicle service recommendations and vehicle replacement part recommendations from said vehicle through said communication interface device (col. 6, lines 25-67; col. 7, lines 29-31, lines 53-58; col. 8, lines 21-67). .

Regarding claim 27 Cannon et al (inherently) disclose a method (figs. 1-4; col. 2-9) of performing remote vehicle diagnostics in accordance with claim 26 further comprising the steps of:

a) effectuating an e-commerce or an e-business transaction by placing an order for the selection of said vehicle service recommendations or vehicle replacement part recommendations (col. 7, lines 53-67; col. 8, lines 21-54; col. 5, lines 57-67, etc); and

c) confirming said e-commerce, or said e-business order placement (col. 7, lines 53-67; col. 8, lines 21-54; col. 5, lines 57-67, etc).

Art Unit: 3663

Regarding claim 28 Cannon et al (inherently) disclose a method (figs. 1-4; col. 2-9) of performing remote vehicle diagnostics in accordance with claim 27, wherein the step of confirming said e-commerce or said e-business order further comprises the step of:

charging one or more fees for transacting said e-commerce, and/or said e-business transaction (col. 7, lines 53-67; col. 8, lines 21-54; col. 5, lines 57-67, etc).

Regarding claim 29 Cannon et al (inherently) disclose a method (figs. 1-4; col. 2-9) of performing remote vehicle diagnostics in accordance with claim 26 wherein, said programmable storage device is at least one of the following: a pocket PC, a personal data assistant, a wireless phone, a pager, an RED device, a smart card, a magnetic card, a key fob, a key chain, or a vehicle key (col. 6, lines 25-58; col. 8, lines 21-64).

Regarding claim 35, Cannon et al disclose a method (figs. 1-4; col. 2-9) of claim 23, wherein the step of monitoring vehicle data includes the step of:

monitoring vehicle data communicated wirelessly between the in-vehicle device and the communication interface (col. 6, lines 25-67; col. 7, lines 29-31, lines 53-58; col. 8, lines 21-67).

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/27/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons:

The applicant is arguing that the prior art reference Cannon does not disclose selecting, by said user, from within said vehicle (col. 6, lines 32-45), one or more of said displayed at least one of vehicle service recommendations or vehicle replacement part recommendations (col. 6, lines 33-67; col. 7, lines 29-31, lines 53-58).

Art Unit: 3663

The examiner respectfully disagrees. The applicant is relying only on one embodiment of the Cannon reference to support their argument; however, Cannon has several other embodiments that read on the claim. Cannon discloses “selecting, by said user, from within said vehicle (*col. 6, lines 32-45; col. 5, lines 58-67*), one or more of said displayed at least one of vehicle service recommendations or vehicle replacement part recommendations (*col. 6, lines 33-67; col. 7, lines 29-31, lines 53-58*).

Similarly, Cannon et al disclose “a receiving unit to receive and to display (*col. 5, lines 58-67*) the vehicle service recommendation and/or the vehicle replacement part recommendation to a user in the vehicle via the in-vehicle device from the analysis device 14 (*col. 8, lines 32-43*).”

It is therefore believed that the rejection is proper and stands.

#### ***Communication***

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronnie Mancho whose telephone number is 571-272-6984. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs: 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Art Unit: 3663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronnie Mancho  
Examiner  
Art Unit 3663

8/2/06



JACK KEITH  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER